1 2 3 4 5	MARIA K. PUM (State Bar No. 120987) KRISTEN E. CAVERLY (State Bar No. 175070) HENDERSON & CAVERLY LLP P.O. Box 9144 (all U.S. Mail) 16236 San Dieguito Road, Suite 4-13 Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067-9144 Telephone: (858) 756-6342 Facsimile: (858) 756-4732 Email: mpum@hcesq.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff McKESSON CORPORATION		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	McKESSON CORPORATION, a Delaware	Case No.4:07-cv-05715 WDB	
11	corporation,		
12	Plaintiff, v.	DECLARATION OF KRISTEN E. CAVERLY, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF	
13	FAMILYMEDS GROUP, INC.,	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE,	
14	f/k/a Drugmax, Inc., a Connecticut corporation,	SUMMARY ADJUDICATION BY	
15	Defendant.	McKESSON CORPORATION	
16			
17	FAMILYMEDS GROUP, INC., f/k/a Drugmax, Inc., a Connecticut corporation,	Complaint Filed: November 9, 2007 Cross-Complaint Filed: December 17, 2007	
18	Counterclaimant,	Motion Date: August 6, 2008	
19	v.	Time: 1:30 p.m. Place: Ctrm 4	
20	McKESSON CORPORATION, a Delaware	1301 Clay St., 3d Floor Oakland, CA	
21	corporation,		
22	Counterdefendant.		
23	FAMILYMEDS, INC.,		
24	a Connecticut corporation,		
25	Cross-Complainant, v.		
26	McKESSON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,		
27	Cross-Defendant.		
28			

- I, KRISTEN E. CAVERLY, declare that I have personal knowledge of the following facts or know of such facts based on my review of the files and records maintained by my office in the normal course of business, and, if called upon to do so, I could testify competently thereto:
- 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Henderson & Caverly LLP, counsel of record for McKESSON CORPORATION ("McKesson") in this action.

Authentication of Documents

2. I have in my custody and control various pleadings that were filed in this case by McKesson and by each of FAMILYMEDS GROUP, INC., f/k/a Drugmax, Inc., a Connecticut corporation ("FM Group") and FAMILYMEDS, INC., a Connecticut corporation ("FM, Inc." and together with FM Group called "Familymeds"). Based on my review of such pleadings and papers that have been filed in this case, I know of my own personal knowledge that attached to the "Compendium of Exhibits" (the "Exhibit Compendium") as Exhibits G through L as listed below, are true and correct copies of the documents described in the second column below:

28

15	Exhibit Reference	Document
16	G	McKesson Corporation's "Complaint for Breach of Contract" filed on
17	U	November 9, 2007 (Court's Docket No. 1.)
18	Н	Familymeds Group, Inc.'s "Answer to Complaint for Breach of Contract"
19		filed on December 17, 2007 (Court's Docket No. 6.)
20	I	"Counterclaim for Specific Performance of Contract and Accounting; Cross-Complaint for Accounting" filed by Familymeds Group, Inc. and
21		Familymeds, Inc. on December 17, 2007 (Court's Docket No. 6.)
22	J	"Amended Notice of Motion, Motion, Memorandum of Points and Authorities of McKesson Corporation Seeking to Dismiss: (1) Counterclaim
23		for Specific Performance of Contract; and (2) Cross-Complaint for
24		Accounting" filed by Corporation on January 14, 2008 (Court's Docket No. 14.)
25	K	"Order Re May 5, 2008, Case Management Conference" dated May 5, 2008
26	K	(Court's Docket No. 36.)
27		

L "Answer of McKesson Corporation To: Counterclaim for Specific Performance of Contract and Accounting; and (2) Cross Complaint for Accounting" filed by McKesson Corporation on May 19, 2008 (Court's Docket No. 37.)

Efforts to Obtain Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts

- 3. In compliance with Judge Brazil's Standing Order requiring the parties to meet and confer regarding a Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts on McKesson's summary judgment motion, on Wednesday May 28, 2008 at 11:47 a.m., I sent an email to Messrs. Robert C. Gebhardt and Matthew S. Kenefick, counsel for Familymeds with a proposed joint statement.
- 4. In that email, I informed Messrs. Gebhardt and Kenefick of the Court's requirement that the parties attempt to agree on a joint statement, and that McKesson's motion for summary judgment would be filed on June 4, 2008 and is set for hearing on August 6, 2008 at 1:30 p.m.
- 5. I requested that Messrs. Gebhardt and Kenefick reply no later than Monday, June 2, if there are facts on the proposed joint statement to which Familymeds would not stipulate or if Familymeds would want to suggest reworking of a particular fact to allow for stipulation. I also stated that the facts to which the parties could not jointly agree would be moved to Section II of the proposed joint statement.
- 6. On June 2, 2008, I spoke to Mr. Kenefick by phone. At that time, he and Mr. Gebhardt had not reached an agreement as to any of the proposed joint facts.
- 7. On June 3, 2008, Mr. Keneflick and I exchanged at least three phone messages. Mr. Keneflick did not indicate in his messages whether or not there were certain of the proposed facts to which Familymeds would stipulate.
- 8. On June 4, 2008 at approximately 10:15 a.m., I again left a message for Mr. Keneflick with no response. Later that day, I sent a revised Statement of Facts to Mr. Keneflick and Mr. Gebhardt as McKesson's intended filing given the lack of stipulation or communication ///

Filed 06/04/2008

Page 4 of 4